1		
2		
3		
4	UNITED STATE	S DISTRICT COURT
5	NORTHERN DISTI	RICT OF CALIFORNIA
6		I
7	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Case No. <u>16-cr-00244-SI-1</u>
8	Plaintiff,	
9	v.	VERDICT FORM
10	JAMEEL COLLINS, SR.,	
11	Defendant.	
12		
13	We, the jury in this case, find as follows	::
14	COUNT ONE	
15	1. Has the government proven the defe	endant, JAMEEL COLLINS, SR., guilty beyond a h intent to distribute heroin, in violation of 21
16	U.S.C. § 841(a)(1), as charged in C	ount One?
17	NO, NOT GUILTY Y	ES, GUILTY
18		
19		
20		
21	COUNT TWO	
22	reasonable doubt of possession with	endant, JAMEEL COLLINS, SR., guilty beyond a intent to distribute cocaine, in violation of 21
23	U.S.C. § 841(a)(1), as charged in Co	ount Two?
24	NO, NOT GUILTY	YES, GUILTY
25		
26		

reasonable doubt of posse	on the defendant, JAMEEL COLLINS, SR., guilty beyond ession with intent to distribute methamphetamine, in 841(a)(1), as charged in Count Three?
NO, NOT GUILTY	YES, GUILTY
4. Please answer the following COLLINS, SR., guilty in Countries of the co	ng question only if you have found the defendant, JAMEE Question 3 above.
Has the government proven be intent to distribute 5 grams or	eyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant possessed wit more of actual methamphetamine?
NO	YES
5. Has the government prove	n with intent to distribute marijuana, in violation of 21
5. Has the government prove reasonable doubt of possession	on the defendant, JAMEEL COLLINS, SR., guilty beyond n with intent to distribute marijuana, in violation of 21
5. Has the government prove reasonable doubt of possession U.S.C. § 841(a)(1), as charged NO, NOT GUILTY	on the defendant, JAMEEL COLLINS, SR., guilty beyond in with intent to distribute marijuana, in violation of 21 in Count Four?
5. Has the government prove reasonable doubt of possession U.S.C. § 841(a)(1), as charged NO, NOT GUILTY COUN 6. Has the government prove	en the defendant, JAMEEL COLLINS, SR., guilty beyond in with intent to distribute marijuana, in violation of 21 d in Count Four? YES, GUILTY T FIVE en the defendant, JAMEEL COLLINS, SR., guilty beyond a ssion of a firearm by a felon, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §
5. Has the government prove reasonable doubt of possession U.S.C. § 841(a)(1), as charged NO, NOT GUILTY COUN 6. Has the government prove reasonable doubt of posses	T FIVE In the defendant, JAMEEL COLLINS, SR., guilty beyond in with intent to distribute marijuana, in violation of 21 in Count Four? YES, GUILTY T FIVE In the defendant, JAMEEL COLLINS, SR., guilty beyond its sign of a firearm by a felon, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §
5. Has the government prove reasonable doubt of possession U.S.C. § 841(a)(1), as charged NO, NOT GUILTY COUNTY 6. Has the government prove reasonable doubt of posses 922(g)(1), as charged in COUNTY NO, NOT GUILTY 7. Has the government prove	T FIVE In the defendant, JAMEEL COLLINS, SR., guilty beyond in with intent to distribute marijuana, in violation of 21 in Count Four? YES, GUILTY T FIVE In the defendant, JAMEEL COLLINS, SR., guilty beyond sion of a firearm by a felon, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § count Five? YES, GUILTY YES, GUILTY YES, GUILTY YES, GUILTY YES, GUILTY THOUSE OF THE DESCRIPTION OF 18 U.S.C. § count Five?

United States District Court Northern District of California

8. Has the government proven the defendant, JAMEEL COLLINS, SR., guilty beyond a reasonable doubt of carrying a firearm during and in relation to, or possessing a firearm in furtherance of, a drug trafficking crime, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 924(c), as charged in Count Six? NO, NOT GUILTY YES, GUILTY Dated: FOREPERSON